



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*Eoh*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/062,113	04/17/98	GOTO	M FJN-060DV

HM22/0628

PATENT ADMINISTRATOR  
TESTA HURWITZ & THIBEAULT LLP  
HIGH STREET TOWER  
125 HIGH STREET  
BOSTON MA 02110

EXAMINER

ROMEO, D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1647

13

DATE MAILED:

06/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**09/062,113**

Applicant(s)  
**Goto et al.**

Examiner  
**David S. Romeo**

Group Art Unit  
**1646**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David S. Romeo (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Attorney Ronda Moore (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 26 Jun 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner returned Attorney's call regarding a possible typographical error at page 10, line 20, of the last Office action (Paper No. 12) and the examiner left a voice mail message that the citation of copending Application No. 09062113 was indeed a clerical error and that the action should have cited copending Application No. 08915004.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

**DAVID ROMEO**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.